

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,590 | 08/07/2006 | Noriyuki Shikina | 00684.103077, | 2284 |
| 5514 7590 GMB72009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WONG, TINA MEI SENG | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2874 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/03/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/552 590 SHIKINA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TINA M. WONG 2874 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/552,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to Applicant's response submitted 21 January 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent 5,461,400 to Ishii et al.

In regards to claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 19, Ishii et al teaches a display apparatus (Figure 2) comprising

- a liquid crystal display panel including pixels arranged in a matrix, the pixels being capable of retaining a written display state (Column 12-13)
- pixel electrodes (12) provided to the pixels, respectively, and a common electrode
 (19) provided commonly to the pixels
- scanning lines and signal lines (13) for supplying a voltage to the pixel electrodes
- a drive circuit (94) connected to the common electrode, the scanning lines, and the signal lines, and
- a pen input device for selectively switching the display drive mode when the pen input, where the input from the pen device rewrites the pixels through an application of voltage.

Art Unit: 2874

But Ishii et al fails to explicitly teach a control circuit for providing a signal to a drive circuit. However, in liquid crystal display devices, the use of a control circuit for providing a signal to a drive circuit for changing the voltage application is well known in the art. Although not explicitly stated, one of ordinary skill would have reasonably known for a control circuit to exist. Without the control circuit, the input from the pen device would not be capable of transferring the signal to the drive circuit and the display would be non-existent. Furthermore, although Ishii et al does not explicitly teach switching between the display mode and the rewriting mode, Ishii et al does describe inputting data and displaying the data on a liquid crystal screen. Ishii et al further details the input handwriting to be recognized by a selected mode and then displayed in various multimedia applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to switch between the two modes in order for the display device to function as intended.

In regards to claim 13, although not explicitly state, it would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill to have a variable voltage supplied to the electrodes and a reference voltage supplied to the common electrode in order for the input pen, the control circuit and the driving circuit to compare and relay the necessary information to the display so that the proper desired output can be achieved.

In regards to claim 17, electrophoretic displays are known in the art. Electrophoretic displays are well known to have the same common components and operations as claimed by Applicant.

Art Unit: 2874

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TINA M. WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-2352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Uyen-Chau Le can be reached on (571) 272-2397. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tina M Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2874